

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,212	08/31/2001	Thomas M. Kurth	URE02 P-309	2406	
277	7590 02/06/2006		EXAMINER		
PRICE HEN 695 KENMO	EVELD COOPER DEW	COONEY,	COONEY, JOHN M		
P O BOX 256	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
GRAND RAP	PIDS, MI 49501	1711			

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					,			
<u></u>	<del></del>	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/944,212	2	KURTH ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		John m. Co	-	1711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING Expressions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustic reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THI .136(a). In no ever d will apply and will tte, cause the applic	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 November 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠	,—	is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[	- ' '							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>36,37,40-62 and 76-82</u> is/are pending in the application.							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>36,37,40-62 and 76-82</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ea.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	auirement.					
			<b>4</b>					
	ion Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the Examin		¬					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	•	ın priority und	or 35 U.S.C. & 110/o	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	at(s)							
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	٩١	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
. —	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 shts</u> .	Asternances de 1	·,					

Application/Control Number: 09/944,212

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant's arguments filed 11-14-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Rejections under 35 USC 102 over WO 00/15684 and the KURTH documents are withdrawn upon further consideration. The obviousness-type double patenting rejections are withdrawn in light of applicants' properly filed Terminal Disclaimers.

The following rejections are maintained:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 36-37, 40-62, and 76-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Croft (5,688,860).

Croft discloses polymer materials comprising the reaction product of isocyanates, isocyanate reactive materials, catalysts, plasticizers, extenders/crosslinkers, and other materials reading on the products as claimed (see column 10 line 60 – column 12 line

Page 3

40, as well as, the entire document). Distinction between the various reactive materials employed in the making of the isocyanate reactive component are not evident in the claims nor are distinctions between final products evident by limitation in the claim. Distinction of applicants' invention based on the oils being blown is not seen to be evident, as distinction based on such in the final resulting product is not seen to be evident. Additionally, the materials and reactants as well as intermediates employed in the making of the products are seen to read on esterification to the degree defined by the claims such that claims to products containing such recitations are not seen to distinguish over the teachings of Croft.

Applicants' arguments have been considered, but rejections are maintained for the reasons set forth above. It is maintained that the evidence of record has not factually established distinction of the final product as claimed. Applicants' affidavit has been considered. However, affidavits or declarations must set forth facts, not merely opinions or conclusions: *In re Pike et al.*, 1950 C.D. 105, 84 USPQ 235. Otherwise the affidavits or declarations have no probative value.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/944,212 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER